



Agenda

Ordinary Meeting

Notice is hereby given that a Ordinary Meeting of Council will be held at Council Chambers , 1 Belgrave Street, Manly, on:

Monday 23 April 2007

Commencing at 7:30pm for the purpose of considering items included on the Agenda.

Persons in the gallery are advised that the proceedings of the meeting are being taped for the purpose of ensuring the accuracy of the Minutes. However, under the Local Government Act 1993, no other tape recording is permitted without the authority of the Council or Committee. Tape recording includes a video camera and any electronic device capable of recording speech.

Copies of business papers are available at the Customer Services Counter at Manly Council, Manly Library and Seaforth Library and are available on Council's website:

www.manly.nsw.gov.au

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Item	Page No.
OPENING PRAYER	
APOLOGIES AND LEAVE OF ABSENCE	
DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST	
CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES	
The Ordinary Meeting of 19 MARCH 2007	
PUBLIC FORUM	
(In accordance with Clause 66 in Council's Code of Meeting Practice, Public Forum is for a maximum of fifteen (15) minutes for <i>matters that are not listed on the Agenda</i> . A total of five (5) people may address Council for a maximum of three (3) minutes each.)	
PUBLIC ADDRESSES	
MAYORAL MINUTES	
Mayoral Minute Report No. 8	
Appointment of Independent Paving Consultant.....	3
Mayoral Minute Report No. 9	
Empowering Local Councils	6
NOTICES OF MOTION	
Notice of Motion Report No. 4	
Desalination Plants - Deferred from OM 19 March 2007	8
Notice of Motion Report No. 6	
Extension of Smoke Free Zones	9
Notice of Motion Report No. 7	
Review of Council Expenditure.....	10
ITEMS FOR BRIEF MENTION	
Item For Brief Mention Report No. 14	
Items for Brief Mention - Minutes for Adoption by Council - Special Purpose and Joint Committees - Deferred from P&S 16 April 2007	11
Item For Brief Mention Report No. 3	
Items for Brief Mention.....	13
GENERAL MANAGERS DIVISION	
General Managers Division Report No. 5	
Little Manly Permit Parking Scheme - Review of Scheme Hours - Deferred from OM 19 March 2007	15

CORPORATE SERVICES DIVISION**Corporate Services Division Report No. 9**

Accounts - Report on Council Investments as at 31 March 200721

HUMAN SERVICES AND FACILITIES DIVISION**Human Services And Facilities Division Report No. 2**Report on the Building Maintenance - North Steyne Surf Life Saving Club
Conservation Management Plan23**QUESTIONS WITHOUT NOTICE****MATTERS OF URGENCY**

(In accordance with Clause 14 of the Local Government (Meetings) Regulations, 1993)

CLOSED SESSION**CONFIDENTIAL COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE****General Managers Division Report No. 8**

Stuart Street, Manly - Properties 38 and 40 *It is recommended that the Council resolve into closed session with the press and public excluded to allow consideration of this item, as provided for under Section 10A(2) (a) (d) of the Local Government Act, 1993, on the grounds that:*

- *the matter will involve the discussion of personnel matters concerning a particular individual*
- *discussion of the matter in open meeting would be, on balance, contrary to public interest by reason of the foregoing and report contains commercial information of a confidential nature that would, if disclosed (i) prejudice the commercial position of the person who supplied it; or (ii) confer a commercial advantage on a competitor of the council; or (iii) reveal a trade secret.*

******* END OF AGENDA *******

TO: Ordinary Meeting - 23 April 2007
REPORT: Mayoral Minute Report No. 8
SUBJECT: Appointment of Independent Paving Consultant
FILE NO:

Background

Further to Council's resolution at the CPS meeting of Monday April 16th, I can confirm that Dr Brian Shackel has been commissioned to undertake the Independent Review regarding the laying of the granite pavers on The Corso.

Dr Shackel was recommended to me by several sources as a national expert on the design and construction of interlocking pavers. Dr Shackel is currently Visiting Professor, School of Civil and Environmental Engineering, at the University of New South Wales, Sydney.

Dr Shackel has agreed to the following terms of reference

1. Review the decision-making process in arriving at the technical specification used for the laying of the pavers. (This would involve speaking to or interviewing project and technical group personnel)
2. Provide comments on the suitability/appropriateness of the technical specification, and
3. Make recommendations on any remedial action for stage one and advice for stage 2

In confirming his acceptance to the General Manager he has indicated he will be commencing his review on Monday April 23rd and anticipates it will be complete by May 5th 2007. A report will be submitted to the General Manager by that date for consideration by the elected Council.

I attach a copy of the curriculum vitae of Dr Shackel for the information of my fellow Councillors.

RECOMMENDATION

I therefore recommend that:

Council note the appointment of Dr Brian Shackel to conduct the independent review according to the terms of reference outlined above.

ATTACHMENTS

AT- 1 Curriculum Vitae Dr B Shackel 2 Pages

OM230407MM_1

***** End of Mayoral Minute Report No. 8 *****

ATTACHMENT 1

Mayoral Minute Report No. 8 - Appointment of Independent Paving Consultant Curriculum Vitae Dr B Shackel

CURRICULUM VITAE

Dr B. Shackel

Dr Brian Shackel graduated in Civil Engineering from the University of Sheffield, Britain, in 1962 and immediately thereafter joined the Department of Main Roads, New South Wales, Australia. In 1964 he was appointed Shire Engineer to Central Darling Shire, the largest local government area in New South Wales covering an area in excess of 20 000 square miles. He left municipal engineering in 1966 to accept a Teaching Fellowship at the University of New South Wales, and took the degrees of M.Eng.Sc. and Ph.D. He was Head of the Department of Geotechnical Engineering in the School of Civil Engineering at that University from 1989 to 1993. He was subsequently made Director of the Munro Centre at the University of N S W. He is currently Visiting Professor, School of Civil and Environmental Engineering, University of New South Wales, Sydney, Australia where he continues to teach graduate classes and to supervise research students.

Between 1978 and 1981, after a period as Visiting Professor at the School of Civil Engineering, Tokyo University, Japan, he joined the National Institute for Transport and Road Research, CSIR, South Africa, as Senior Chief Research Officer whilst on extended leave from his university post. Professor Shackel has published many papers and books dealing with geomechanics and pavement engineering. Many of these papers are concerned with interlocking concrete block paving and helped win Dr. Shackel the 1981 award of the Concrete Institute of Australia for "an advancement in concrete". Dr Shackel's work as Paving Coordinator for the Sydney Olympics won him a further award from the Concrete Institute in 2001.

Dr Shackel has held numerous appointments as a guest or visiting professor to institutions including the Technical Universities of Vienna, Delft and Copenhagen, the Danish and Swedish Road Institutes and Tokyo and Nihon Universities, Japan

Dr. Shackel has been invited to lecture on pavement design and construction in more than 23 countries worldwide including repeated visits to Europe, the USA and Canada. In addition he is frequently retained as a pavement consultant and

ATTACHMENT 1

Mayoral Minute Report No. 8 - Appointment of Independent Paving Consultant Curriculum Vitae Dr B Shackel

expert. He is entering his 45th year of experience working as a Professional Engineer and has acted as a design consultant for many major highway, port and airport projects around the world including several in the USA and Canada. Details of some of these can be found at his website at <http://www.brianshackel.com> including the Massey Coal Terminal, Newport News, Va., the Canadian-Pacific Intermodal Facility, Edmonton, Alberta and major road, airport and industrial projects in Australia, Europe and Asia.

Dr Shackel's principal book "The Design and Construction of Interlocking Concrete Block Pavements" was published by Elsevier Science Publishers, London and New York, in 1990 and, in a Japanese edition, in Tokyo in 1992. Subsequently, editions in German and Hungarian have been published.

Dr Shackel was a Keynote Speaker at the BAUMA Trade Fair in Munich, Germany and at Pave Africa in 2003. He will be a Key Speaker at the Concrete Institute conference to be held in Melbourne in 2007. He has lectured in most major American and Canadian cities on concrete block paving over the last 20 years. He conducted international lecture tours on permeable paving in 2003, 2004, 2005 and 2006 and is already booked for lecture tours to the USA, Europe and Australia during 2007.

TO: Ordinary Meeting - 23 April 2007
REPORT: Mayoral Minute Report No. 9
SUBJECT: Empowering Local Councils
FILE NO:

Background

The Bureau of Crime Statistics and Research recently highlighted the incidence of alcohol fuelled violence in Manly as the second highest in the Sydney metropolitan area. This is sad but not surprising considering the concentration of licensed premises, the number of patrons and the late night trading hours they are currently permitted. It is not an acceptable situation and I propose that further initiatives be progressed.

The Local Area Commander, Kim McKay, was quoted as saying, quite correctly, that "alcohol related crime would always be worse in Manly compared to other areas because pubs along The Corso attracted thousands of revelers on Saturday night."

Dr Don Weatherburn, director of BOSCAR, said "there is an increasing trend towards late trading pubs. Without changes to that it is going to be very difficult to get the assault rate down"

The Council has implemented a number of the recommendations of the Manly After Midnight Working Group and I have reported that there have been improvements in community safety (*Mayoral Minute Report number 4 ~ February 19th 2007*). But this latest report from BOSCAR reminds us that there is still work to be done, particularly in regards to trading hours. I acknowledge that the licensed premises have actively improved the compliance with RSA and that security has been tightened but there continues to be no indication that they would agree to changes to the licensing hours which is a major cause of the problems.

This matter was debated at the Community Safety Committee on Thursday April 19th and the following resolution was passed with 7 in favour and 3 abstentions:

"That local Council be given a consent role in the determination of licensing hours in licensed premises"

At present, a Council has the right to make a submission to the Licensing Court in response to an application but such submissions appear to carry little weight; the recent experience with the New Brighton Hotel is an example of hours being extended despite serious objections from Council. By giving the Council, and therefore the community, a consent role is proper and logical as the Council understands the local conditions well, appreciates the impacts felt by local residents and, as at present, picks up the "tab" for the costs associated with mitigation such as security and CCTV coverage.

The NSW Liquor Bill 2005 is still the subject of a review by the Department of Gaming and Racing and is yet to go before Parliament. Council did make a submission to the review and I have been advised by the Department that it is still possible for Council to submit a recommendation to the Minister for Gaming and Racing on this issue.

This is an opportune time for Council to act on behalf of the community and seize some control. It is our community that bears the brunt of the results of licenses being issued and it is only right that they be given a more powerful voice in how those licences are issued.

Mayoral Minute Report No. 9 (Cont'd)**RECOMMENDATION**

I therefore recommend:

1. That Council adopt the recommendation of the Community Safety Committee that “local Councils be given a consent role in the determination of licensing hours of licensed premises”.
2. That Council refer this resolution to the Minister for Gaming and Racing and seek that it be included in the current Liquor Bill tabled in Parliament.
3. That Council seek the support of the local member, Mr. Mike Baird.

ATTACHMENTS

There are no attachments for this report.

OM230407MM_2

***** End of Mayoral Minute Report No. 9 *****

TO: Ordinary Meeting - 23 April 2007
REPORT: Notice of Motion Report No. 4
SUBJECT: Desalination Plants - Deferred from OM 19 March 2007
FILE NO:

Councillor Daley will move:

“That Council record its objection to the NSW Government’s decision to approve the construction of a desalination plant at Kurnell, and further, raise Council’s objection to the failure of the NSW Government to properly consider the environmental impacts of the proposal, and to consider the full range of alternative actions to address Sydney’s long term water needs.”

Background

The NSW Government approved a desalination plan and pipeline at Kurnell on 16 November 2006 under Part 3A of the Planning and Assessment Act, 1979. This recent amendment to the Act allows the Minister for Planning to approve the development concept and waive the need for an Environmental Impact Assessment (EIS) prior to granting approval for the proposal.

There are major concerns with operations of the plant raised by bodies including the Sydney Coastal Council Group Inc. including:

High energy requirements

The production of 500 megalitres of water as proposed would require 906 gigawatt hours per year and produce between 480,000 and 950,000 tonnes of greenhouse gases (depending on the source of energy).

Impacts on marine ecology

Direct impacts would occur through organisms being sucked into the plant during the process. An Environmental Impact Assessment (EIS) is needed to determine likely ecological impacts and mitigating measures.

Unacceptable waste production is also an issue. The production of 1.5 million litres of brine each day for return to the Pacific Ocean is a major concern, plus other chemicals used in the processes. An EIS would normally consider the impacts and the alternative options available to mitigate impacts of disposal mechanisms.

Alternatives to Desalination

The EIS process requires the alternatives to building the desalination plant to be considered; including improving recycling and re-use of greywater and stormwater (currently less than 2% is recycled).

Demand management strategies including regulation and education have achieved some 10% reduction in Sydney’s water use since they were introduced, but are only a minor element of the Sydney Metropolitan Water Plan, ie \$30 million, compared with \$780 million for infrastructure.

The proposed expenditure of \$1.9 billion on the desalination plant at Kurnell without consideration of its environmental impacts and consideration of the full range of options to drought proof Sydney is not supported.

ATTACHMENTS

There are no attachments for this report.

OM230407NM_1

***** End of Notice of Motion Report No. 4 *****

TO: Ordinary Meeting - 23 April 2007
REPORT: Notice of Motion Report No. 6
SUBJECT: Extension of Smoke Free Zones
FILE NO:

Councillor Aird will move:

“That Manly Council extend its smoke free zones to include bus shelters and Council owned parking stations.”

Background

Passive smoking has identified and quantified health risks. The US Department of Health has classified second-hand smoke as a “Class A” or known human carcinogen, for which there is no safe level of exposure. (US Dept. of Health 2000)

Second-hand or environmental tobacco smoke is a complex cocktail of over 4,000 chemicals in the form of particles and gases. It includes irritants and systematic toxicants such as hydrogen cyanide, sulphur dioxide, carbon monoxide, ammonia, and formaldehyde. It also contains carcinogens and mutagens such as arsenic, chromium and reproductive toxicants such as nicotine and cadmium. (Australian Government Dept. of Health & Ageing 2002).

Side-stream smoke which drifts from the burning end of a cigarette contains these carcinogens and toxic particles, which are finer and more concentrated than mainstream filtered smoke, meaning they can be inhaled deeply into the lungs. In some cases their concentration is 30 times higher than mainstream smoke. (Cancer Council)

Children are most vulnerable to the effects of passive smoking on respiratory illness and asthma (Cancer Council; NHMRC)

Where Council provides assets and services intended to be a benefit to children and other members of the community, Council has an obligation to promote health outcomes.

The data for public health impacts of smoking in well ventilated open space areas is complex, and that some of these impacts are indirect and cumulative and not related to the inhalation of smoke. Indirect effects can result from children playing with discarded butts, and from the accumulation of cigarette derived particles on clothing and skin.

Every citizen has the right to clean fresh air.

ATTACHMENTS

There are no attachments for this report.

OM230407NM_2

***** End of Notice of Motion Report No. 6 *****

TO: Ordinary Meeting - 23 April 2007

REPORT: Notice of Motion Report No. 7

SUBJECT: Review of Council Expenditure

FILE NO:

Councillor Norek will move:

“That Council initiate a complete review of current Council expenditure to identify potential savings that could be reallocated to the infrastructure improvements that are needed in this Local Government Area.”

ATTACHMENTS

There are no attachments for this report.

OM230407NM_3

***** End of Notice of Motion Report No. 7 *****

TO: Ordinary Meeting - 23 April 2007
REPORT: Item For Brief Mention Report No. 14
SUBJECT: Items for Brief Mention - Minutes for Adoption by Council - Special Purpose and Joint Committees - Deferred from P&S 16 April 2007
FILE NO:

1. Minutes of meetings without recommendations of a substantial nature:-

- (i) Sydney Water and Manly Council Partnership –15 February 2007
- (ii) North Shore Regional Pedestrian & Bicycle Committee – 22 February 2007
- (iii) Heritage Committee – 7 March 2007
- (iv) Manly DCP Review Working Party – 7 March 2007
- (v) Manly Community Environment Committee – 15 March 2007
- (vi) Manly Traffic Committee – 26 March 2007

2. Minutes of meetings contain recommendations of a substantial nature requiring formal Council adoption as follows:-

(i) Climate Change Working Group (CCWG) – 22 February 2007

Item 7: Submissions received on actions to address Climate Change

Recommendation

The CCWG recommends that Council undertake the Climate Change Impacts and Risk Management process this financial year as a matter of priority.

(ii) Manly Bicycle Committee – 8 March 2007

Item 8: Multi Use Cycling Facility with Pool Redevt

Recommendation

That the Bicycle Committee consider the matter of the development of a multi purpose cycling facility (eg. velodrome or circuit track) further and formalise a submission to Council at the appropriate time.

(iii) Manly Sustainability Strategy Management Group – 13 March 2007

Item 8.1: Grey Power Brochure

Recommendation

The Manly Sustainability Management Group commends Grey Power for producing the fact sheet and for its role in raising community awareness of climate change. The Manly Sustainability Management Group (MSSMG) recommend that the Precinct Coordinator distribute the fact sheet to all Manly Precincts. In addition, the MSSMG refer this recommendation to the Climate Change Working Group for their consideration.

Item For Brief Mention Report No. 14 (Cont'd)**RECOMMENDATION**

1. That the recommendations of Minutes of Meetings, as listed in Item 1 above, being 1(i) to 1(vi), be **adopted**.

2. (i) That the minutes of the **Climate Change Working Group (CCWG) – 22 February 2007**, be **adopted**, including the following recommendations of a substantial nature:

Item 7: Submissions received on actions to address Climate Change

(ii) That the minutes of the **Manly Bicycle Committee – 8 March 2007** be **adopted** including the following recommendations of a substantial nature:

Item 8: Multi Use Cycling Facility with Pool Redevt

(iii) That the minutes of the **Manly Sustainability Strategy Management Group – 13 March 2007** be **adopted** including the recommendations of a substantial nature:

Item 8.1 Grey Power Brochure

ATTACHMENTS

There are no attachments for this report.

OM230407IBM_1.doc

***** End of Item For Brief Mention Report No. 14 *****

TO: Ordinary Meeting - 23 April 2007
REPORT: Item For Brief Mention Report No. 3
SUBJECT: Items for Brief Mention
FILE NO:

1. Minutes of Meetings without recommendations of a substantial nature:

- (i) Manly Arts Festival Committee - 7 March 2007
- (ii) The Manly Sister Cities Committee - 14 March 2007
- (iii) Access Committee - 15 March 2007
- (iv) Community Safety Committee - 15 March 2007
- (v) Manly Youth Council Committee - 19 March 2007
- (vi) Manly Visitor and Community Board Committee Notes of Meeting - 22 March 2007
- (vii) The Manly Meals On Wheels Service Committee - 11 April 2007

2. Minutes of meetings contain recommendations of a substantial nature requiring formal Council adoption as follows:

Community Safety Committee - 15 March 2007

Shared Cycleway – East & West Esplanade

Clr Aird advised that the proposal of a shared cycle/pedestrian path on the existing pedestrian space in East and West Esplanade between the Art Gallery and the Yacht Club has been passed by Council. Clr Aird will be preparing a notice of motion to the next Council meeting that in light of the significant opposition by various parts of the community as well as safety concerns highlighted by the Safety Committee that Council investigate the cost of building an east to west cycle path on the southern side separate from the pedestrian path.

The Committee discussed concerns relating to the dangerous mix of cycles and crowds of people flowing from the Wharf area.

It was pointed out the small statistic cited by the Safety Committee of accidents/fatalities caused by bicycles. Police confirmed that this may be misleading as the statistics collected would not reflect accidents on a pathway as opposed to a public street, where motor vehicles are regulated.

Recommendation

That Council review this decision in light of the high volume of pedestrian traffic on this sector and the inherent safety risk to pedestrians and children that the introduction of bicycles will pose.

That the information be noted and received.

Divisional Manager's Note:

At the Community Safety Committee meeting of 19 April 2007 the confirmation of minutes of 15 March 2007, the item Shared Cycleway East and West Esplanade. A correction of the motion was proposed by Errol Hunt seconded by Ray Mathieson.

Item For Brief Mention Report No. 3 (Cont'd)

That a dismount area from Federation Point to Manly Art Gallery and Museum across to the Manly Wharf forecourt area to West Esplanade be a dismount of cycle area and to remain so, and to be patrolled throughout the six month trial period.

RECOMMENDATION

1. That the recommendations of **Minutes of Meetings**, as listed in item 1, being **1(i) to 1(vii)**, be **adopted**.
2. That the Minutes of the **Community Safety Committee - 15 March 2007** be **adopted**, including the following amendment:

Shared Cycleway – East & West Esplanade

“That a dismount area from Federation Point to Manly Art Gallery and Museum across to the Manly Wharf forecourt area to West Esplanade be a dismount of cycle area and to remain so, and to be patrolled throughout the six month trial period. “

ATTACHMENTS

There are no attachments for this report

OM230407IBM_2

***** End of Item For Brief Mention Report No. 3 *****

TO: Ordinary Meeting - 23 April 2007
REPORT: General Managers Division Report No. 5
SUBJECT: Little Manly Permit Parking Scheme - Review of Scheme Hours - Deferred from OM 19 March 2007
FILE NO:

SUMMARY

In September 2006 Council conducted a review of the operation of the Little Manly Permit Parking Scheme via a survey of properties in the Permit Parking Scheme area. The review was undertaken as part of the original Council resolution on the introduction of the Scheme.

Council had been requested by the Little Manly Precinct Community Forum to incorporate a question in the survey asking residents whether they would support a change to the 2P restrictions from 8am - 10pm to 8am - 8pm.

REPORT

The survey (**Attachment 1**) was letter box dropped to all properties in the Little Manly Permit Parking Scheme area. A total of 1,004 surveys were delivered and 291 surveys were completed – or a response rate of 28.9% of the residences surveyed.

A summary of the survey results is attached (**Attachment 2**).

The survey results were referred to the Little Manly Precinct for their consideration and comment. The Precinct responded to Council as follows:

“LM Precinct confirm their desire to change the Residential Parking scheme hours to 0800 – 2000 on a 7 day basis but point out that the major concern is a lack of policing of the parking restrictions. We request Council to provide increased policing of the scheme. Vote: Yes = 36, No = 2, Abstain = 4.”

The majority of respondents were totally satisfied with the operation of the permit scheme. In regard to the question of whether the parking restriction times should be changed, it can be noted from the survey results summary that, although the majority of residents surveyed supported a change of the 2P restrictions from 8am - 10pm to 8am - 8pm, a large number of respondents did not answer this question.

It should also be noted that if the restricted times are changed to 8am - 8pm, any vehicle can park from 6pm without restriction. The permit areas neighbouring Little Manly, The Isthmus and Fairy Bow er, will remain operating from 8am – 10pm. If the change of times was to proceed some streets, e.g. Darley Road would have different time restrictions on either side (Fairy Bow er permit times one side and Little Manly permit times the other) and East Esplanade would have different time restrictions (Little Manly and The Isthmus) in different blocks of the street.

Whilst a change to reduce the restriction hours may not impact on the streets to the very Eastern side of permit scheme area, streets closer to the CBD would be impacted upon by people parking to access the CBD at night. Council would not recommend changing some streets to a different time within the same area. It is therefore recommended that the existing 2P parking time regulations remain unchanged

General Managers Division Report No. 5 (Cont'd)**RECOMMENDATION**

1. Council notes the results of the survey of the Little Manly Permit Parking Scheme.
2. That the parking restrictions in Little Manly Permit Parking Area remain as "2P permit holders excepted 8am-10pm" seven (7) days a week.

ATTACHMENTS

AT- 1 Copy of the Little Manly Parking Survey 2 Pages

AT- 2 Copy of the Little Manly Permit Parking Area Survey results 2 Pages

OM230407GMO_1

***** End of General Managers Division Report No. 5 *****

General Managers Division Report No. 5 - Little Manly Permit Parking Scheme - Review of Scheme Hours - Deferred from OM 19 March 2007
 Copy of the Little Manly Parking Survey

Little Manly Permit Parking Customer Survey

Council would appreciate your feedback. Please return the completed survey form by:

- mailing it reply paid to Council or dropping it in at Manly Council Offices, 1 Beigrave Street Manly.

Please tick the appropriate boxes:

1. I live in the Little Manly Permit Area and:

- I own a residential property
- I am a tenant in a residential property

2. I work in the Little Manly Permit Area and:

- I own a commercial property
- I am a tenant in a commercial property

2. I have:

- 0
- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4 or more vehicles permanently at my property

3. My property has:

- off street parking
- no off street parking

4. How many Little Manly Parking Permits do you currently have?

- Vehicle 0 1 2 3
- Trailer 1

5. How has traffic congestion been affected in your street since the start of the permit scheme?

- On weekdays
- Reduced
 - stayed the same
 - Deteriorated

On weekends

- Improved
- stayed the same
- Deteriorated

6. Has parking improved in your street since the start of the permit scheme?

- On weekdays
- Yes
 - stayed the same
 - No

On weekends

- Yes
- stayed the same
- No

7. How satisfied are you with the permit scheme in your area?

- Totally satisfied
- Neutral
- Dissatisfied

8. How satisfied are you with the enforcement of the parking scheme in your area?

- Totally satisfied
- Neutral
- Dissatisfied

9. I support the change of the 2P parking restrictions from 8am – 10pm to 8am to 8pm?

- Yes
- No

Additional comments:

General Managers Division Report No. 5 - Little Manly Permit Parking Scheme - Review of Scheme Hours - Deferred from OM 19 March 2007
Copy of the Little Manly Parking Survey

Manly Council



Delivery Address:
PO Box 82
MANLY NSW 1655

No stamp required
if posted in Australia



Manly Council
Reply Paid 82
MANLY NSW 1655

ATTACHMENT 2

General Managers Division Report No. 5 - Little Manly Permit Parking Scheme - Review of Scheme Hours - Deferred from OM 19 March 2007 Copy of the Little Manly Permit Parking Area Survey results

Little Manly Permit Parking Customer Survey September 2006 Results

Total number of surveys received - 291

1. I live in the Little Manly Permit Area and I:

Own a residential property - 224
Am a tenant in a residential property - 65
No answer - 2

2. I live in the Little Manly Permit Area and I:

Own a commercial property - 0
Am a tenant in a commercial property - 0
No answer - 0

3. I have vehicles at my property:

0 - 12
1 - 144
2 - 101
3 - 26
4 or more - 6
No answer - 2

4. My property has:

Off street parking - 204
No off street parking - 78
No answer - 9

5. How many Little Manly Parking Permits do you currently have?

Vehicle:

0 - 17
1 - 118
2 - 107
3 - 45

Trailer:

1 - 2
No answer - 4

Number of vehicles to off street parking spaces:

1 car - 90
2 cars - 81
3 cars - 19
4 cars - 4
No answer - 10

Number of vehicles to no off street parking spaces:

1 car - 47
2 cars - 25
3 cars - 3
4 cars - 2
No answer - 11

ATTACHMENT 2

General Managers Division Report No. 5 - Little Manly Permit Parking Scheme - Review of Scheme Hours - Deferred from OM 19 March 2007 Copy of the Little Manly Permit Parking Area Survey results

Little Manly Permit Parking Customer Survey September 2006 Results

6. How has traffic congestion been affected in your street since the start of the permit scheme?

On weekdays:

Improved - 99
Deteriorated - 31
Stayed the same - 145
No answer - 16

On weekends:

Improved - 60
Deteriorated - 56
Stayed the same - 161
No answer - 14

7. Has parking has improved in your street since the start of the permit scheme?

On weekdays:

Yes - 128
No - 65
Stayed the same - 78
No answer - 20

On weekends:

Yes - 79
No - 76
Stayed the same - 116
No answer - 20

8. How satisfied are you with the permit scheme in your area?

Totally satisfied - 94
Neutral - 106
Dissatisfied - 78
No answer - 10

9. How satisfied are you with the enforcement of the parking scheme in your area?

Totally satisfied - 61
Neutral - 105
Dissatisfied - 114
No answer - 11

10. I support the change of the 2P parking restrictions from 8am-10pm to 8am-8pm?

Yes - 165
No - 96
No answer - 96

11. Additional comments.

TO: Ordinary Meeting - 23 April 2007
REPORT: Corporate Services Division Report No. 9
SUBJECT: Accounts - Report on Council Investments as at 31 March 2007
FILE NO:

SUMMARY

Latest accounting statements for the period to 31 March 2007

1. Statement showing general fund bank account balance as at 31 March 2007.
2. Cash investments as at 31 March 2007.

REPORT

1. Statement Showing General Fund Bank Account Balance as at 31 March 2007

Limit of overdraft arranged with bank **\$400,000.00 Dr**
 Bank Balance as at 31 March, 2007 ⁽¹⁾ **\$687,941.64 Cr**

2. Details of Council Investments Pursuant to the General Regulation as at 31 March, 2007.

In accordance with clause 212 of the Local Government (General) Regulation 2005, a report setting out the details of money invested must be presented to Council on a monthly basis.

For the information of Councillors, the following cash investments were held by Council as at 31 March, 2007.

Invest Date	Maturity/ Call date	Institution	Term (Days)	Rate	Amount	Interest
19/02/07	21/05/07	IMB	91	6.47	120,000.00	1,935.68 ⁽²⁾
18/02/03	18/02/08	Macquarie Bank	1826	5.75	1,000,000.00	28,750.00 ⁽⁴⁾
03/12/04	03/12/09	NM Rchild & Son (Aust)	1826	7.44	700,000.00	12,984.33 ⁽⁵⁾
25/10/05	25/10/07	Emu Structured Note	365	7.00	500,000.00	35,000.00 ⁽⁶⁾
22/12/06	21/06/10	Aquaduct Structured Note	1277	7.09	500,000.00	8,862.50 ⁽³⁾
05/07/06	05/07/12	WBC PP Ethical Note	2192	8.01	500,000.00	19,868.59 ⁽⁸⁾
21/05/04	21/05/07	CBA	1095	6.20	719,877.16	44,632.38 ⁽⁷⁾
		LGFS Ethical Fund	@CALL	6.35	1,091,350.64	
		IMB	@CALL	6.25	5,000.00	
		CBA	@CALL	6.20	1,064,773.34	
		Grange Securities – Managed Fund	@CALL	7.25	5,500,000.00	(9) (10)
					11,701,001.14	

1) Balances in-excess of \$750,000 earns 5.50%pa

2) Interest to 21 May, 2007 only

3) Interest to 22 March, 2007 only

4) Interest to 18 August, 2007 only

5) Interest to 4 June, 2007 only

6) Interest calculated at the guaranteed interest floor of 7.00%pa for the first year

7) Interest to 21 May, 2007 only

8) Interest to 5 July, 2007 only

9) Council has engaged Grange Securities Pty Ltd to manage part of Council's Investment Portfolio (\$5.5 million) in a separate Managed Fund. These investments will be held as an Individually Managed Portfolio (IMP) by Grange on behalf of Manly Council, and Grange will provide comprehensive reports each month to Council. The IMP has been set up by Grange on a fee free basis for 6 months, and returns in the IMP will be compared with Council's own managed funds. If the IMP performs better

Corporate Services Division Report No. 9 (Cont'd)

than Council's own investing strategy, Council could then look at moving all its investments into the IMP, as this will save on the considerable administrative work and risks involved in managing Council's investment portfolio

10) Annualised monthly return. See attached Grange Securities March 2007 Portfolio Executive Summary.

Except for (2) and (7) interest is calculated at a floating rate, fixed for the duration of each subsequent quarter, based on the prevailing interest rates at the quarterly reset date/s.

Investment Performance	Council	Benchmark*	90 day BBSW**
Returns – March 2007 [%pa]:	6.92	6.68	6.43

* benchmark is 90 day BBSW plus 0.25%pa

** 90 day BBSW is the average 90 day bank bill rate for the month.

Certification – Responsible Accounting Officer

The Chief Financial Officer hereby certifies that the investments listed above have been made in accordance with Section 625 of the Local Government Act 1993, clause 212 of the Local Government (General) Regulation 2005 and Council's Investment Policy.

RECOMMENDATION

1. That the statement of General Fund Bank Account balance as at 31 March, 2007 be received and noted.
2. That the certification by the Chief Financial Officer be noted.
3. That details of Council's cash investments as at 31 March, 2007 be received and noted.

ATTACHMENTS

There are no attachments for this report.

OM230407CSD_1

***** End of Corporate Services Division Report No. 9 *****

TO: Ordinary Meeting - 23 April 2007
REPORT: Human Services And Facilities Division Report No. 2
SUBJECT: Report on the Building Maintenance - North Steyne Surf Life Saving Club Conservation Management Plan
FILE NO:

SUMMARY

Council at the Planning and Strategy Committee meeting of 12th February 2007 received a report North Steyne Surf Life Club Conservation Management Plan and from that meeting resolved in part 4 of the resolution:

4. *Council be provided with a report on outstanding building maintenance and that Council apply to seek grant funding.*

This report informs Council of the cost of maintenance to the North Steyne Surf Lifesaving Club by identifying the estimated cost of repair/replacement of items as part 4 of the resolution.

Council is also advised of the cost of engagement of specialist consultants report, including a structural engineers report estimated at \$25,000 and the cost of replacement of Heritage items in the building estimated at \$30,000.

The report recommends Council to fund completion of repair and/or the replacement of the identified maintenance items in the 2007/08 budget cycle.

REPORT

The North Steyne Surf Life Saving Club was built in 1935. Since that time, the primary use of the clubhouse has been for the function of a Community Surf Club offering the accommodation to the volunteer surf lifesavers.

Associated club uses include;

- ❖ Currently, Council hires its venues for lifestyle, training, personal health and development sessions.
- ❖ Hire of the hall for community and commercial uses, as well as community meetings and social gatherings.
- ❖ The lifestyle and personal development uses of this facility have been determined due to the management requirements in having regard for the resident and community disturbance and the risk issues associated with the previous hiring of facilities for parties.

Maintenance work carried out in the years 2004/05 & 2005/06 in the sum of \$65,000.00 has addressed the condition of the building at a cost to Council's maintenance vote.

Attachment A - identifies the cost of materials and labour required to bring the building to a satisfactory maintenance condition. The works will be undertaken in keeping with the Heritage style of the building wherever possible, and the maintenance works identified will not affect or jeopardise the Heritage style and character of the external structure of the building.

The intent of these maintenance works will be for the original items to be repaired or to replace like with like, where possible, and in keeping with conservation plan for the repair of the building.

Human Services And Facilities Division Report No. 2 (Cont'd)**Summary:**

Council is advised that a grant for the above Structural report to be commissioned and the Heritage items to complete the works could be applied for; following a resolution of Council to fund the maintenance work.

RECOMMENDATION

That the matter of allocation be considered as a part of the 2007/08 budget.

ATTACHMENTS

AT- 1 Building Maintenance North Steyne Surf Life Saving Club 1 Page

OM230407HSFU_1

***** End of Human Services And Facilities Division Report No. 2 *****

ATTACHMENT 1

**Human Services And Facilities Division Report No. 2 - Report on the Building Maintenance - North Steyne Surf Life Saving Club Conservation Management Plan
Building Maintenance North Steyne Surf Life Saving Club**

Attachment A		NORTH STEYNE SURF LIFE SAVING CLUB Maintenance schedule
External	Replacement repair of eves gutter and downpipes	10,000
	Installation of Stainless steel Railing for main entry steps	4,000
	Provision of security lights on the beach side	600
	Railing on low parapet wall	1,500
	Security fix timber railings in balcony/renew as necessary	1,250
	Review all external signage and replace as necessary	1,500
	Repairs to the entrance column/stairs	4,000
Main Hall	Main Hall - Kitchen floor refurbish	1,000
	Main Hall - Kitchen counter top replace	2,800
	Replacement of roller shutter on ground floor	1,800
	Replacement of stainless steel grill gate on ground floor	1,500
	Provision wash basin in first aid room	1,400
Beach Level	Replacement of flooring in first aid room	1,800
	Repair and reinstatate terrazzo flooring	2,800
	Provide exhaust fans to improve ventilation	1,600
	Replacement of plumbing fixture with water saving type	2,500
	Surf Club lockers replaced	1,600
	Hot Water service reposition/replace	2,000
	Heritage style door - roller door and entry gate from beach replace	2,500
	Toilets repair and repair and paint ceilings	2,500
	Replace fittings and fixtures(change rooms)	800
	Partitions showers and toilets replace	2,000
	Internal stairs handrail and stair treads replaced	3,000
Public Toilets Promenade Level	Public toilets & showers Promenade (M&F) repair terrazzo cubicle partitions & repair & paint ceilings	8,800
Public Toilets Beach Level	Public toilets Beachfront entry, showers & toilets repair & replace partitions & tiles	3,000
	Repair tiles/ceiling	800
	Install exhaust vents	800
	Repair terrazzo steps/floor	1,600
	TOTAL	\$69,450